

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	T NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/685,610	10/11/2000	Betsy Johnson	53394.000444	5256
7590 10/23/2003 Hunton & Williams Suite 1200 1900 K Street N W Washington, DC 20006-1109			EXAMINER	
			REICHLE, KARIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	15
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	1 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/685,610	JOHNSON, BETSY			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karin M. Reichle	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request to application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .		·			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet					
		K M. Reichle Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761			

Continuation of 10. Other: With regard to Applicant' remarks, attention is reinvited to the prior art rejection and the response to amendment sections of the FINAL. Additionally with regard to the argument set forth on page 6, lines 9-11 of the 10-14-03 response, it is noted that it is not Examiner's responsibility, but rather Applicant's responsibility, to show properties are not inherent."